

Wildfires increase in Europe from north to south by a factor 10!

August 29th, 2016



By Wilfried ten Brinke

This longread is based on a large number of scientific sources assembled by the ClimateChangePost. Check out the forest fire pages on www.climatechangepost.com for more details.

This longread is the second of three articles on wildxre risk under climate change in Europe. The xrst one focused on southern Europe. This second article compares wildxre risk in northern Europe to that in the south. The third article will be on measures and strategies to xght wildxres and limit the increasing wildxre risk.

Wildfire risk in northern Europe is relatively low

WildBre risk in northern Europe is much less than in southern Europe. In northern Europe, wildBres are rare: the percentage of forestland burnt annually is less than 0.05%. For comparison: during 1991 - 2002 each year 0.55% of the total forest area of Spain burnt. Also the number of Bres is much less. Finland is Europels most heavily forested country, with 75% of its land area covered by forests. During the past 3 decades, the average number of forest

Bres has been about 1000 Bres annually, with an average burnt area of 0.5 ha per Bre. Again for comparison: the number of wildBres in Spain and Italy was more than 10 times as high. The total annually burnt area of forest in Sweden has not exceeded 5000 ha since 1950s for most years. In northern European countries to the south of Scandinavia similar ¹/owl numbers for annually burnt forest area have been reported: in Germany in the year of the heat wave of 2003, the area of burnt forest was ¹/onlyl 1315 ha. WildBres in Italy on the other hand destroy more than 50,000 ha of wood each year. Even in much smaller countries in southern Europe, such as Albania and Croatia, the total area of forest destroyed by Bre annually far exceeds the numbers that have been reported for countries in the north.

Russia on fire in 2010, an exception to the rule

In general wildBres in northern Europe so far havenIt been that destructive. The Bres in Russia in 2010 are an exception to the rule. In the summer of 2010, western Russia experienced an intense heat wave from early July through to the Brst half of August, having already been subject to signiBcantly above average temperatures in the previous two months. In Moscow, temperatures were 7.6°C above average for July, making it the hottest July on record by 2°C. On 29th July, Moscow recorded its hottest ever temperature of 38.2°C, the highest since the records began 130 years ago. There were also 33 consecutive days above 30°C in the city. Drought, high temperatures, and Bres destroyed one-third of Russials 2010 grain crop. The Bres, drought, and heat aAected over 13.3 million hectares cropland in 41 Russian provinces. Estimates of total economic losses due to the forest Bres vary from \$15 billion to \$300 billion. The latter number also includes the loss of timber. Around 14,000 deaths resulted from the summer heat, with half of them in and around Moscow alone.

Future projections: wildfire risk increases

WildBre risk in Scandinavia hasnIt changed much over the last decades. During the 20th century, there has been no signiBcant increasing or decreasing tendency in the climate-driven forest Bre danger; summertime temperatures have increased but no signiBcant changes in summertime precipitation have been observed yet. WildBre risk will increase, though, in the course of this century, as the climate becomes drier, warmer and windier, and drought spells occur more frequent.

Compared with 1961 - 1990 average summertime mean temperature in Finland rises by 1.5°C for 2010 - 2029 and by 4°C for 2080 - 2099, and precipitation increases for most of the country, according to model results under a scenario of intermediate climate change. As a result, the probability of forest Bre danger days in the forest Bre ½high seasonl, i.e. June to August, would increase by 56 - 75% for 2010 - 2029 and 71 - 91% for 2080 - 2099. On average, the number of forest Bre danger days was projected to increase by 1 day for 2010 - 2029 and by 7 to 10 days for 2080 - 2099, compared with 1961 - 1990. The uncertainty in this number is mainly due to uncertainty in the sign and magnitude of future precipitation change. The actual number of Bres ignited, however, also strongly depends on socioeconomical changes.

Besides a projected increase of the number of forest Bre danger days during June to August, the forest Bre season probably will start earlier as well due to a projected earlier end of the snow season in the boreal environment. Forest Bre activity starts soon after snowmelt, when organic debris from the previous growing season is exposed and dried. A shift of Bre activity towards the spring has already been observed.

WildBre risk may change quite diAerently in the southern and northern parts of Scandinavia, though. According to model results under a scenario of intermediate climate change, southern Sweden is projected to become a more Bre-prone region with an increased number of days with a high Bre risk. Northern Sweden on the other hand may be a Bre-resistant region in the future climate (until 2100) with less days with high Bre risk than today.

WildBre risk will probably also increase in northern European countries to the south of Scandinavia, such as Germany, the United Kingdom, and Poland.

Difference between north and south also manifest in the Alps

The diAerence in wildBre risk between northern and southern Europe has also been observed in the Alps. So far, wildBres do not constitute a signiBcant hazard in the central and northern parts of the Alps, while on the southern side they are more common even if Bre number and burnt area are low compared to the neighbouring Mediterranean area. In southern Swiss Alps Bre risk due to drought events increased over the 1971 - 2005 period.

The potential increase of summer storms could lead to an increase in the risk of wildBres. In regions like the dry valleys of the canton of Valais (Switzerland), the drought-induced dieback of pines enhances the amount of dead wood in forests and therefore the risk of wildBres. According to model results on the future impact of climate change the diAerence in wildBre risk between northern and southern parts of the Alps will sustain at least until 2050, but probably also in the second half of this century.

Follow-up on this longread

What measures are needed to Bght wildBres that seem to get out of control every now and then? What strategies can be used to limit the increasing wildBre risk? These questions will be answered in a follow-up article on the ClimateChangePost next week.

Source: www.climatechangepost.com

Photo: John Dal (www.Γickr.com)