

# River flood risk of Europe's road network highest in Germany, France and Italy

March 27th, 2021



Foto: Oregon Department of Transportation (www.flickr.com)

Large-scale river sbod risk of the European road network has been assessed for the present climate. This was done with a new approach where the impact of sboding on roads as individual objects was assessed. In addition to the continental-scale assessment, sbod hotspots were identified within the European motorway network. All three components sbod hazard, exposure and vulnerability that make up sbod risk were modelled. This was done for all river sections with an upstream area larger than 500 km<sup>2</sup>. The effects of river and stash sboding in the most upstream catchments, with an upstream area smaller than 500 km<sup>2</sup>, were left out. Also the effects of pluvial and coastal sboding were not included.

The assessment covers the 27 member states of the European Union (EU) (excluding Cyprus and Malta); the United Kingdom and the European Free Trade Association countries of Liechtenstein, Norway, and Switzerland (not Iceland); and the (potential) candidate countries of Albania, Montenegro, North Macedonia, and Serbia.

### € 230 million per year

According to this assessment, the expected annual direct damage from large river sbods to road infrastructure in Europe is about € 230 million per year (2015 price level). Motorways contribute 26 % to this damage. The other contributions are 7 % for trunk roads, 22 % for primary, 15 % for secondary, 7 % for tertiary, and 23 % for other roads. The 90 % confidence interval of this estimate is € 89 million - 373 million per year.

#### Flood hotspots in the EU network

River \$bod risk of the road network is highest in Germany, France, and Italy: € 45 million, 43 million, and 23 million per year, respectively. In these countries, the risk is concentrated around the rivers that rise in the Alps and then \$bw through regions with dense road networks, such as the Danube and Rhine \$bwing through southern Germany, the Rhone \$bwing through south-eastern France, and the Po \$bwing through northern Italy. These three countries have additional \$bod hotspots in the Elbe River, Garonne River, and Tiber River basins, respectively.

Another concentration of high shood risk is found on the Scandinavian Peninsula. The sparse road networks in these countries can potentially be inundated with large water depths, causing large damage.

The Czech Republic, Slovakia, Hungary, Croatia, and Latvia are also high-risk regions.

Although these countries contribute little to the total damage in Europe, the relative impact of road disruptions in these countries is large.

#### The Netherlands stands out

The aggregated stood risk of the road network in The Netherlands is among the lowest of Europe. Still, many of the Dutch motorways can potentially be inundated. Flood risk in the Netherlands is low due to the very high river stood protection standards (return period of 1:1000 years or higher in most places), which make the likelihood of stood events very small. If a stooding were to occur, however, many roads would be inundated with large water depths, causing large damage and severe complications for evacuation.

## A small part of total $\hat{\eta}$ ood risk

To put things into perspective, river \$bod risk of the European road network is a relatively small part of total \$bod risk. Total river \$bod risk, in terms of direct damage to infrastructure, buildings, etc., has been estimated to be € 4 to 6 billion per year (Alfteri et al., 2016b; Jongman et al., 2014). Thus, the estimate of annual road damage by river \$boding is 'only' 3.8 % to 5.8 % of the total damage. This agrees with results of other studies: this infrastructure share of total \$bod damage is usually in the order of 5 % to 10 %.

# Other aspects of $\hat{\jmath}$ ood risk

The numbers above refer to direct, tangible damage only, including clean-up and repair of roads and traffic management systems, damage to vehicles, and costs of evacuation and

rescue operations. Flooding also leads to direct intangible damage: fatalities, injuries, and inconvenience. In addition, there are many indirect adverse effects of sooding, such as economic damage from delayed freight and persons, societal disruption, and undermined trust in public authorities.

Source: Van Ginkel et al., 2021. Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences 21: 1011 - 1027.